

The Greenhouse Effect

In 2021, Syukuro Manabe and Klaus Hasselmann were awarded half of the Nobel Prize in Physics for their work in modeling Earth's climate and accurately predicting the global warming caused by human industrial activities. In this problem, we will examine a simple model of global warming due to the greenhouse effect. The greenhouse gases alter the optical properties of the Earth's atmosphere in transmitting or absorbing Earth's infrared radiation, resulting in a rise in the average temperature of the planet.

All objects, at different temperatures, emit thermal radiation. The quantity $u(\lambda, T)d\lambda$ indicates the thermal radiative power per unit area of an object at temperature T between the wavelengths λ and $\lambda + d\lambda$. According to Planck's theory of blackbody radiation, we have

$$
u(\lambda,T)=\tfrac{2\pi hc^2}{\lambda^5}\tfrac{1}{\exp(\tfrac{hc}{\lambda k_{\mathrm{B}} T})-1},\quad \text{\tiny{(1)}}
$$

thermal radiative power per unit area of an object at temperature T between the wavelengths λ
and $\lambda + d\lambda$. According to Planck's theory of blackbody radiation, we have
 $u(\lambda, T) = \frac{2\pi hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{hc}{\lambda k_BT}) - 1}$, and $\lambda + d\lambda$. According to Planck's theory of blackbody radiation, we have
 $u(\lambda, T) = \frac{2\pi hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{hc}{\lambda k_BT}) - 1}$, (1)

in which $hc = 1.24 \times 10^3 \text{ eV} \cdot \text{nm}$ and $k_B = 8.62 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/K}$. The wavelength correspo $u(\lambda,T)=\frac{2\pi hc^2}{\lambda^5}\frac{1}{\exp(\frac{hc}{\lambda k_BT})-1}$,
in which $hc=1.24\times10^3\,\mathrm{eV}\cdot\mathrm{nm}$ and $k_\mathrm{B}=8.62\times10^{-5}\,\mathrm{eV/K}$. The v
to the maximum of $u(\lambda,T)$ comes from the relation $\lambda_\mathrm{max}T=b$ ()
Indeed, using equation (1), it can be α , α , β
 α (Wierdimen, β to find
 $\sigma = 5$
 α
 α
 α to the maximum of $u(\lambda, T)$ comes from the relation $\lambda_{\text{max}}T = b$ (Wien's displacement law).
Indeed, using equation (1), it can be shown that $b = \frac{hc}{x_m k_B}$, where the dimensionless quantity x_m is
the non-trivial root of to the maximum of $u(x, T)$ comes from the relation $x_{\text{max}}T = b$ (wien's displacement law).

Indeed, using equation (1), it can be shown that $b = \frac{hc}{x_{\text{max}}h_B}$, where the dimensionless quantity x_{m} is

the non-triv In the non-trivial root of an equation of the form $f(x) = 0$; you are asked to find the function $f(x)$ in
one of the following tasks. Total radiative power per unit area of a blackbody in all wavelengths is
given by the St one of the following tasks. Total radiative power per unit area of a blackbody in all wavelengths is
given by the Stephan-Boltzmann law as $U(T) = \sigma T^4$ where $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \text{K}^4$.
Moreover, according to Kirchh $hc = 1.24 \times 10^{3}$ eV · nm and $\kappa_B = 8.02 \times 10^{-5}$ eV /K $b = \frac{1}{x_{\rm m} k_{\rm B}}$, where the dimensionless quantity $x_{\rm m}$ the non-trivial root of an equation of the form $f(x) = 0$; you are asked to find the function $f(x)$ in one of the following tasks. Total radiative power per unit area of a blackbody in all wavelengths is $U(T) = \sigma T$ where $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2 \text{K}^2$

given by the Stephan-Boltzmann law as $U(T) = \sigma T^4$ where $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{W/m^2K^4}$.
Moreover, according to Kirchhoff's law of radiation, at thermal equilibrium a body absorbing a
certain fraction of the incident radia Moreover, according to Kirchhoff's law of radiation, at thermal equilibrium a body absorbing a
certain fraction of the incident radiation at a specific wavelength, will radiate the same fraction of
the blackbody radiation certain fraction of the incident radiation at a specific wavelength, will radiate the same fraction of
the blackbody radiation at that same wavelength.
Throughout this problem assume that the Sun is a blackbody at its ave the blackbody radiation at that same wavelength.

Throughout this problem assume that the Sun is a blackbody at its average surface temperature

of $T_S = 5.77 \times 10^3$ K. The Sun's radius is $R_S = 6.96 \times 10^8$ m and the aver Throughout this problem assume that the Sun is
of $T_S = 5.77 \times 10^3$ K. The Sun's radius is R_S =
the Earth and the Sun is $d = 1.50 \times 10^{11}$ m.
radiated into a unit area of the Earth normal t
quantity over all wavelength of $T_S = 5.77 \times 10^3$ K. The Sun's radius is $R_S = 6.96 \times 10^8$ m and the average distance between
the Earth and the Sun is $d = 1.50 \times 10^{11}$ m. We denote by $\tilde{u}_S(\lambda)$, the spectral solar power
radiated into a unit area $T_S = 5.77 \times 10^8$ K. The Sun's radius is $R_S = 6.96 \times 10^8$ m
or Faith and the Gun is $J = 1.50 \times 10^{11}$ m. We denote h $d = 1.50 \times 10^{11} \,\textrm{m}$. We denote by $\tilde{u}_{\rm S}(\lambda)$ quantity over all wavelengths, i.e. $S_0 = \int \tilde{u}_S(\lambda) d\lambda$, is called the solar constant.

the Earth and the Sun is $d = 1.50 \times 10^{11}$ m. We denote by $\tilde{u}_S(\lambda)$, the spectral solar power radiated into a unit area of the Earth normal to the direction of radiation. The integral of this quantity over all wavele radiated into a unit area of the Earth normal to the direction of radiation. The integral of this quantity over all wavelengths, i.e. $S_0 = \int \tilde{u}_S(\lambda) d\lambda$, is called the solar constant.
In this problem assume that the Ea quantity over all wavelengths, i.e. $S_0 = \int \tilde{u}_S(\lambda) d\lambda$, is called the solar constant.
In this problem assume that the Earth is in thermal equilibrium and has the same temperature at
all points on its surface. In all pa In this problem assume that the Earth is in thermal equilibrium and has the s
all points on its surface. In all parts of the problem, express the desired qu
form in terms of the data given in the problem and then find its In this problem and the problem, express the desired quantity in parametric

In all points on its surface. In all parts of the problem, express the desired quantity in parametric

form in terms of the data given in the pro Form in terms of the data given in the problem and then find its numerical value accurate to three
significant figures. The required units are indicated on the answer sheet.
A. Earth as a Blackbody
PhO 2024 Page 1 of 1 Form in terms of the data given in the data given in the data given in the problem and the pro

A. Earth as a Blackbody

 $\gamma \tilde u_{\rm S}(\lambda)$ and $u(\lambda,T_{\rm E})$ are plotted versus λ , where γ coefficient to rescale $\tilde{u}_\mathrm{S}(\lambda)$ such that the values of the two peaks coincide.

B. The Greenhouse Effect

layer at a small distance above the Earth's surface so that the difference between the area of the atmosphere's layer and the area of the Earth's surface can be neglected (see figure 2). In what follows assume that the ma atmosphere's layer and the area of the Earth's surface can be neglected (see figure 2). In what
follows assume that the major part of the thermal radiation from the Earth and the Sun are
emitted at wavelengths near the $\$ atmosphere's layer and the area of the Earth's surface can be neglected (see figure 2). In what
follows assume that the major part of the thermal radiation from the Earth and the Sun are
emitted at wavelengths near the $\$ emitted at wavelengths near the λ_{max} for each one. Also assume that the "atmosphere layer"
reflects a fraction $r_A = 0.255$ of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation incident from above or below, and
completely transmit reflects a fraction $r_A = 0$. 255 of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation incident from above or below, and completely transmits the rest. Assume that the atmosphere does not reflect any part of the **infrared** radiation, ho completely transmits the rest. Assume that the atmosphere does not reflect any part of the **infrared** radiation, however, it absorbs a fraction ε of the **infrared** radiation and transmits the rest. This behavior, know **infrared** radiation, however, it absorbs a fraction ε of the **infrared** radiation and transmits the rest.
This behavior, known as the greenhouse effect, changes the average temperature of the Earth. The Earth's surfa This behavior, known as the greenhouse effect, changes the average temperature of the Earth. The Earth's surface, on the other hand, reflects a fraction r_E of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation and absorbs the rest of Earth's surface, on the other hand, reflects a fraction r_E of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation and absorbs the rest of this radiation and all the **infrared** radiation.

IPhO 2024
 Page 3 of 16

Theory, English (Off Earth's surface, on the other hand, realistic radiation.

Absorbs the rest of this radiation and all the **infrared** radiation.

IPhO 2024

Theory, English (Official) emitted at wavelengths near the λ_{max} for each one. Also assume that the "atmosphere layer" reflects a fraction $r_A = 0.255$ of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation incident from above or below, and completely transmits the rest. Assume that the atmosphere does not reflect any part of the **infrared** radiation, however, it absorbs a fraction ε of the **infrared** radiation and transmits the rest.
This behavior, known as the greenhouse effect, changes the average temperature of the Earth. The Earth's surface, on the other hand, reflects a fraction r_E of the **visible-ultraviolet** radiation and absorbs the rest of this radiation and all the **infrared** radiation.

rE ≠ 0 α

B-4 If ε increases by one percent.

ume $T_{\rm A} = 245$ K and $T_{\rm E} = 288$ K. These values come from real data and may d

ults which you have obtained in the previous tasks. Now suppose that a non-ra

vective) thermal from t
ve (e.
0sphe
1.6 pt $J_{\text{NR}} - \kappa (I_{\text{E}} - I_{\text{A}})$ κ is a constant. The quantity, $\sigma_{\rm NR}$

 $1.1.1.1$

A. Earth as a blackbody

B. The Greenhouse Effect

code Theory / Official

.

Trapping Ions and Cooling Atoms

In recent decades, trapping and cooling atoms and ions has been a fascinating topic for physicists, with several Nobel prizes awarded for work in this area. In the first part of this question, we will explore a technique for trapping ions, known as the "Paul trap". Wolfgang Paul and Hans Dehmelt received one half of the 1989 Nobel Prize in Physics for this work. Next, we investigate the Doppler cooling technique, one of the works cited in the press release for the 1997 Nobel Prize in Physics awarded to Steven Chu, Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, and William Daniel Phillips "for developments of methods to cool and trap atoms with laser light".

A. The Paul Trap

It is known that with electrostatic fields, it is not possible to create a stable equilibrium for a charged particle. Therefore, creating a stable equilibrium point for ions requires more sophisticated techniques. The Paul trap is one of these techniques.

Consider a ring of charge with a radius n and a uniform positive linear charge density λ . A positive point charge φ with mass *it* is placed at the center of the ring.

In order to trap the charge Q fully, we would like to apply alternating fields to produce a dynamic equilibrium. Assume that the charge density is $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \alpha \cos \omega t$ in which λ_0 , α , and ωt are adjustable. We shall ignore radiative effects. Then the equation of motion for small displacements from the center of the ring, along the direction perpendicular to the plane of the ring will turn out to be: a
' $\lambda = \lambda_0 + u \cos \lambda u$ in which λ_0 , u , and λ_2

$$
\ddot{z} = (+k^2 + a\Omega^2 \cos \Omega t) z \tag{1}
$$

We would like to obtain an approximate solution to Equation (1) by making the following κ is implifying assumptions: $a \ll 1, \Omega \gg \kappa$, and $a\Omega^2 \gg \kappa^2$. With these assumptions, it can be shown that the solution of this equation can be split into two parts. $z(t) - p(t) + q(t)$, where $p(t)$ is a slowly varying component and $q(t)$ is a <u>small-amplitude</u> rapidly-varying component with a <u>mean</u> <u>value of zero</u>. In other words, $p(t)$ may be assumed constant over a few oscillations or $q(t)$ (see Figure 2).

Assume that $\lambda_0 = 8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ C/m}$ and $R = 10 \text{ cm}$. We would like to use this device to trap a singly ionized atom too times heavier than a hydrogen atom.

B. Doppler Cooling

It may be necessary to cool a trapped atom or ion. Assume that a trapped atom or mass m , has two $\epsilon E = E$ energy levels with an energy difference of $E_0 = \hbar \omega_A$. Electrons in the lower level may absorb a
substance of inner to the high subset had a frame specied, alternatil setum to the lower level and cod photon and jump to the higher level, but after a period τ they will return to the lower level and emit a photon with a frequency predominantly within $\lfloor \omega_A - 1 \rfloor$, $\omega_A + 1 \rfloor$. τ $[\omega_A - 1, \omega_A + 1]$

With a similar reasoning, when we shine a laser light on the trapped atom, if the angular $\mu_A - r, \omega_A + r$, the absorb the laser, ω_L , ians in the interval $[\omega_A - r, \omega_A + r]$, the atom may absorb the photon. Assume that the frequency ω_L or the laser light is slightly lower than ω_A . For a particular device, the rate of photon absorption by an atom in the reference frame of the atom is given in Figure 3. The absorbed photon is then re-emitted in a random direction. To make things simple, we consider the problem in one dimension, i.e. we assume that the atoms can only move in the x direction and the laser light shines on them both from the left and from the right. In the atom's reference frame, the light has a higher or lower frequency due to the motion of the atoms. Since the velocity v of the atoms is very small, we only include terms of order v/c and ignore all the higher-order terms. Moreover, we have $m \gg m\omega_{\rm A}/c$ so that the velocity of the atom nearly does not change after absorbing the photon. Also, the change in frequency due to the Doppler effect is so small compared to $\omega_{\rm A} - \omega_{\rm L}$, that the function for s in the diagram of Figure 3 may be approximated by the following linear function: v or the atoms is very small, we only include terms or order v/c $m \gg \hbar \omega_{\rm A}/c^2$

$$
s(\omega)=s_{\rm L}+\alpha(\omega-\omega_{\rm L})
$$

where s is the number of absorbed photons per unit of time, s_L is the value of s for $\omega = \omega_L$, and is the slope of the line tangent to the curve at ω_L . The frequency of the re-emitted photon is almost equal to the frequency of the incident photon, but it is emitted with equal probability in the positive or negative *a*-direction. In fact, up to the order considered here the two frequencies are identical. Note that we are considering the whole process in the atom's reference frame. s is the number of absolbed photons per unit of this, s_L is the value of s for $\omega = \omega_L$, and α ωL

B-2 a) Assume that the trapped atom is moving with a velocity, $v = v_x$ in the lab frame. In the frame of reference of the atom, calculate the collision rate of the photons, incident from each of the two directions, with the atoms (denoted by s_{+} and s_{-}) and the rate or absorption or momentum in each direction (denoted by n_+ and n_-). b) Determine the enective force on the atom as a function of $v, \kappa_L = \omega_L/c$, \hbar , and α , in the reference frame of the laboratory. Assume $s_{\rm L} \ll \alpha \omega_{\rm L}$, 1.7 pt $v - v_{\rm x}$

We would like to find the lowest temperature that can be achieved using this technique. Assume that the velocity of a particular atom has been reduced to zero exactly, and at this very moment it absorbs a photon (incident from any of the two directions), and re-emits the photon randomly in any of the two directions, with almost the same frequency. Assume that this process happens once every *r* units of time.

mass of hydrogen atom: $m_{\rm H} = 1.674 \times 10^{-27}$ kg charge of an electron: permittivity of free space. Boltzmann constant: Planck constant: $e = 1.602 \times 10^{-10} \text{ C}$ $\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m}$
1. 201. $\le 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}$ $k_{\text{B}} = 1.381 \times 10^{-26} \text{ J/K}$
1. 055 $\times 10^{-34} \text{ J}$ $\hbar = 1.055 \times 10^{-34}$ J.s

 \sim \sim \sim

A: Paul Trap

B: Doppler Cooling

Black Widow Pulsar

A significant number of the observed stars are binaries. One or both of the stars may be neutron stars rotating with a high angular velocity and emitting electromagnetic waves; such stars are called pulsars. Sometimes a companion star is an expansive mass of gas that gradually falls down onto the neutron star and causes its mass to increase (Figure 1-a). In this way, a neutron star gradually swallows up a portion of the mass of its companion star. For this reason, the neutron star has been compared to a black widow (or redback spider), a female spider which eats its mate after mating. The heating of the gas falling down onto the black widow generates radiation which can be observed. The heaviest neutron stars often are black widows and they serve as natural laboratories for testing fundamental physics. Figure 1-b shows the picture of the companion of the neutron star PSR J2215+5135, taken by the 3.4-meter optical telescope of the Iranian National Observatory. No neutron star can be seen in this image and the observed light is due to its companion.

Figure 1 - (a) The falling gases of the companion star onto the neutron star - (b) The companion of the neutron star PSR J2215+5135

A. A Binary System

point masses M_1 and M_2 moving on a circular orbit around their center of mass. To investigate the dynamics of this system, consider a rotating coordinate system in which the two bodies are stationary. Take the cente dynamics of this system, consider a rotating coordinate system in which the two bodies are
stationary. Take the center of mass to be the origin of the coordinate system. Assume that the two
point masses lie on the *x*-axi of the consistationary. Take the center of mass to be the origin of the coordinate system. Assume that the two
point masses lie on the *x*-axis on both sides of the origin at a distance *a* from each other, and that
 M_1 stationary. The center of masses iie on the *x*-axis on both sides of the origin at a distance *a* from each other, and that M_1 lies on the negative *x*-axis. At an arbitrary point (x, y) in the plane of motion, the ef *M*₁ lies on the negative *x*-axis. At an arbitrary point (x, y) in the plane of motion, the effective
potential $\varphi(x, y)$ for a unit test mass is the sum of the gravitational potentials of the two point
masses plus the lies on the gravitational potentials of the two point
trial $\varphi(x, y)$ for a unit test mass is the sum of the gravitational potentials of the two point
ses plus the centrifugal potential.
Not ses plus the centrifugal poten point masses M_1 and M_2 moving on a circular orbit around their center of mass. To investigate the dynamics of this system, consider a rotating coordinate system in which the two bodies are point masses lie on the x-axis on both sides of the origin at a distance a from each other, and that M_1 lies on the negative x-axis. At an arbitrary point (x, y) in the plane of motion, the effective potential $\varphi(x, y)$ for a unit test mass is the sum of the gravitational potentials of the two point masses plus the centrifugal potential.

Points: 30 Time: 5.0 Hours

Assuming $M_1 > M_2$, plot the function $\varphi(x, 0)$ qualitatively.

A-3

A-3 and assume that M_2 is surrounded by a rarefied gas

y low density. The mass of this gas is insignificant and we ignore its gravitational effects. If the function quality of this gas envelope becomes greater th Suppose the mass of this gas is insignificant and we ignore its gravitational effects. If the size of this gas envelope becomes greater than a specific limit, the gas will overflow onto M_1 .
Suppose the overflow occurs Suppose (just for task A-3) $M_2 = M_1/3$ and assume that M_2 is surrounded by a rarefied gas of very low density. The mass of this gas is insignificant and we ignore its gravitational effects. If the size of this gas envelope becomes greater than a specific limit, the gas will overflow onto M_1 . Suppose the overflow occurs through $x = x_0$ on the *x*-axis.

 $\frac{u_0}{a}$ a

very size of this gas envelope becomes greater than a specific limit, the gas will overflow onto M_1 .
Suppose the overflow occurs through $x = x_0$ on the *x*-axis.
A-3 Find the numerical value of $\frac{x_0}{a}$, up to two si Suppose the overflow occurs through $x = x_0$ on the *x*-axis.

A-3 Find the numerical value of $\frac{x_0}{a}$, up to two significant figures. You may use the calculator.

Take the rotational period of the stars around their ce A-3

Find the numerical value of $\frac{x_0}{a}$, up to two sign

the calculator.

Take the rotational period of the stars around their center of

from M_2 to M_1 at a very small rate of $dM_1/dt = \beta$. This rat

rotation, th A-3

the calculator.

a properties are the rotational period of the stars around their center of mass to be P . Assume the M_2 to M_1 at a very small rate of $dM_1/dt = \beta$. This rate is so small that in each p

tion, t ational period M_1 at a very siparation
Period explicies the calculate the reduced calculate the reduced calculate the reduced results. ass flot
ass flot
d of
c a lor
ular.
0.6 pt From M_2 to M_1 at a very small rate of $dM_1/dt = \beta$. This rate is so small that in each period of
rotation, the distance between the two stars can be assumed to be constant. However, after a long
period of time, the d $\frac{1}{1}$ M_2 to M_1 at a very small rate of $aM_1/at = \rho$

A-4 Calculate the rate of change of *a* and *P* in terms of
$$
\beta
$$
, M_1 , M_2 , G , and *a*. 0.6 pt 0.6 pt

From the distance between the two stars can be assumed to be constant. However, after a
period of time, the distance between the two stars changes, while the motion remains circular
A-4
Calculate the rate of change of a a period of time, the distance between the two stars changes, while the motion remains circular.

A-4 Calculate the rate of change of *a* and *P* in terms of *β*, *M*₁, *M*₂, *G*, and *a*. 0.6 pt

The gas separated fro A-4
 Period of the distance of the distance of the distance of the distance between the distance of the distance between the distance between the distance between the state, the mass flows at the constant rate of β **, f** A-4 Calculate the rate of M_2 forms a disk rotating around M_1 and heats up due to friction (Figure 1. As the gas loses energy, it spirals inward toward M_1 and finally falls onto it. In the stead end the results of 1-a). As the gas loses energy, it spirals inward toward M_1 and finally falls onto it. In the steady state, the mass flows at the constant rate of β , from M_2 to the disc and from the disc onto M_1 . At the same t state, the mass flows at the constant rate of β , from M_2 to the disc and from the disc onto M_1 . At the same time, the heated disk emits thermal radiation as a blackbody. This disk forms very close to the neutron the same time, the heated disk emits thermal radiation as a blackbody. This disk forms very close
to the neutron star so the gravitational pull of the M_2 star can be ignored for the analysis of the
disk's motion. Also, The gas separated from M_2 forms a disk rotating around M_1 and heats up due to friction (Figure 1-a). As the gas loses energy, it spirals inward toward M_1 and finally falls onto it. In the steady state, the mass flows at the constant rate of β , from M_2 to the disc and from the disc onto M_1 . At the same time, the heated disk emits thermal radiation as a blackbody. This disk forms very close to the neutron star so the gravitational pull of the M_2 star can be ignored for the analysis of the disk's motion. Also, ignore the heat capacity of the gas.

$$
A-5
$$

Determine the temperature of the disc at distance r from the center of the star M_1 in terms of β , M_1 , G , and σ (Stefan-Boltzmann constant).

to the neutron star so the gravitational pull of the M_2 star can be ignored for the analysis of the
disk's motion. Also, ignore the heat capacity of the gas.

A-5
Determine the temperature of the disc at distance r fro disk's motion. Also, ignore the heat capacity of the gas.

A-5 Determine the temperature of the disc at distance r from the center of the

star M_1 in terms of β , M_1 , G , and σ (Stefan-Boltzmann constant).

In A-5

Determine the temperature of the disc at d

star M_1 in terms of β , M_1 , G , and σ (Stefa

In the binary system PSR J2215+5135, the mass of th

mass of its companion star is $M_S = 0.33 M_{\odot}$, where

Sun. T A-5 star M_1 in terms of β , M_1 , G , and σ (Stefan-Boltzmann constant).

the binary system PSR J2215+5135, the mass of the neutron star is $M_{NS} = 2.27$

rss of its companion star is $M_S = 0.33 M_{\odot}$, where $M_{\$ star M_1 in terms of β , M_1 , G , and σ (Stefan-Boltzmann constant).

ry system PSR J2215+5135, the mass of the neutron star is $M_{\text{NS}} = 2.27 M_{\odot}$ and the companion star is $M_{\text{S}} = 0.33 M_{\odot}$, where $M_{\odot} =$ mass of its companion star is $M_S = 0.33 M_{\odot}$, where $M_{\odot} = 1.98 \times 10^{30}$ kg is the mass of the Sun. The rotational period is $P = 4.14$ hr, and the Stefan-Boltzmann constant is $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ W/m²K⁴, and the g Sun. The rotational period is $P = 4.14$ hr, and the Stefan-Boltzmann constant is $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ W/m²K⁴, and the gravitational constant is $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11}$ m³/kgs².
Assume that the mass flow rate to the neutr $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^4$, and the gravitational constant is $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}^2$.

Assume that the mass flow rate to the neutron star is $\beta = \dot{M}_{\text{NS}} = 9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

A-6 Calculate th $\frac{1}{W}$ NS = 2. 21 $\frac{1}{W}$ $M_{\rm S}=0.33~M_{\odot}$, where $M_{\odot}=1.98\times10^{30}$ kg $P = 4.14 \text{ m}$ $\sigma = 5.\,67 \times 10^{-8} \ \text{W}/\text{m}^2\text{K}^4$, and the gravitational constant is $G = 6.\,67 \times 10^{-11} \ \text{m}^3/\ \text{kg}\text{s}^2$ $\beta = \dot M_{\rm NS} = 9 \times 10^{-10} \, M_\odot \, {\rm yr}^{-1}$

Assume that after a sudden explosion, the star ejects a part of its mass out of the binary system at a very high speed, and its mass becomes m_1 . Take the magnitude of the velocity of m_1 relative to m_2 to be after the explosion. ---1
Jeo M_1 . Take the magnitude of the velocity of M_1 relative to M_2 to be v

B. Analysis of the Stability of a Star

B.
this
ssusity
war external

(b) be the stake

take matter with the equation of state $p = K\rho^{\gamma}$ where K and γ are constants. Let $p(r)$ and $\rho(r)$ be the pressure and density at a distance r from the center of the star, respectively. The pressure and ا
م $p_{\rm c}$ and $p_{\rm c}$

$\frac{du}{dx}$, as a function of x

B-6 to find γ up to 3 significant figures. Use the given ruler if necessary.

Sumalyze the stability of the system, we assume that the star deviates slightly from its

the curve assume that the spherical shell, which w to find γ up to 3 significant figures. Use the given ruler if necessary.

the stability of the system, we assume that the star deviates slightly from its equilibrius

sume that the spherical shell, which was in equilib r, now nas a raulus r
. Fer convenience g, p, and ρ have changed to \tilde{g} , \tilde{p} , and $\tilde{\rho}$ \overline{a} $r = r(1 + \varepsilon(t))$, where $\varepsilon(t) \ll 1$

Points: 30 Time: 5.0 Hours

code Theory / Official

A. A Binary System

B. Analysis of the stability of a star

 \cdots

